AMPO Summary: GROW AmericaMay 12, 2014

Authorization of Funding

	2015	2016	2017	2018
Core Programs: NHPP, STP, HSIP, CMAQ	\$38,540,000,000	\$39,313,000,000	\$40,102,000,000	\$40,904,000,000
Multimodal Freight Investment Prog				
 Incentive Grants to States 	\$500,000,000	\$1,000,000,000	\$1,500,000,000	\$2,000,000,000
 Discretionary Grants 	\$500,000,000	\$1,000,000,000	\$1,500,000,000	\$2,000,000,000
Critical Immediate Investments	\$4,850,000,000	\$3,850,000,000	\$2,850,000,000	\$1,850,000,000
Program				
• 25% - Interstate Bridge Revitalization Initiative		6/2		
25% Systemic Safety Initiative50% State of Good Repair		O		
Initiative		29		
FAST Grants	\$500,000,000	\$500,000,000	\$500,000,000	\$500,000,000
TIGER	\$1,250,000,000	\$1,250,000,000	\$1,250,000,000	\$1,250,000,000
Tribal Transportation	\$507,000,000	\$517,000,000	\$527,000,000	\$538,000,000
Federal Lands Transportation	\$370,000,000	\$377,000,000	\$385,000,000	\$393,000,000
• 5% Army Corps of Engineers ☐ 15% USFS	4	C		
80% Dept Interior (NPS, FWS, BLM, Bureau Rec)		*		
Federal Lands Access	\$250,000,000	\$255,000,000	\$260,000,000	\$265,000,000
TIFIA	\$1,000,000,000	\$1,000,000,000	\$1,000,000,000	\$1,000,000,000
OntheJobTraining	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$12,000,000
DBETraining	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$12,000,000
Highway Use Tax Evasion	\$67,000,000	\$68,000,000	\$70,000,000	\$71,000,000
Territory/Puerto Rico	\$190,000,000	\$194,000,000	\$198,000,000	\$202,000,000
Safety, Outreach, Training, Education	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
JobsDriven Skills & Opportunity	\$100,000,000	\$100,000,000	\$100,000,000	\$100,000,000
USDOT Admin	\$442,248,000	\$451,248,000	\$460,248,000	\$469,248,000

Transit Formula Grants	\$13,914,400,000	\$14,140,000,000	\$14,372,000,000	\$14,601,000,000
Capital Investments (New Starts)	\$2,5000,000,000	\$2,625,000,000	\$2,756,000,000	\$2,894,000,000
Rapid Growth Area Transit Grants	\$500,000,000	\$525,000,000	\$550,000,000	\$600,000,000

Obligation Limitation

2015	2016	2017	2018
\$47,323,248,000	\$48,141,248,000	\$48,977,248,000	\$49,829,248,000

104 Apportionments

104(d)(2)(A) – PL Funding	The formula for distribution of PL funds must also prioritize the needs of high performing MPOs

133 Surface Transportation Program

133(d)(1) Allocation of STP based on population	50% by population – (i) over 200,000, (ii) 5,000200,000, and (iii) under 5,000
	25% in any area of the State
	25% in areas served by a high performing MPO – the amount shall be equal to 50% of the
	amount that actually goes to that MPO under first suballocation
	Any remaining funds go out to any area of the state
133(f) Obligation Authority under STP	Provides obligation authority for funds allocated to MPOs designated as high performing MPOs
Add OA to High Performing MPOs	

${\color{red} 134\,Metropolitan\,transportation\,planning}$

134(b) Definitions	Adaptation
Adds new definitions	• Climate Change
	Critical Highway and Transit Asset
	Resilience
	Consolidated Metropolitan Planning
134(d) Designation of MPOs	Cannot designate a new MPO within an MSA where one currently exists or outside the MSA.
Amends paragraph (6) regarding multiple MPOs	
within a single MSA	If there are currently multiple MPOs within an MSA, they retain their designations or consolidate.
X /	Gov(s) & MPOs will reevaluate need for multiple MPOs every ten years and justify the need to

5/12/14

	continue with multiple MPOs.
	N
	Existing MPOs within a single MSA shall cooperate to develop a single plan and performance target
	to use when developing individual plans and performance targets.
134(h)(1) - Scope of Planning Process/General	To consider projects and strategies that improves resilience and reliability of the system and
Adds a new paragraph (I)	reduces or mitigate storm water impacts of surface transportation.
134(i)(2) Transportation Plan	Adaptation and Resilience – plan shall include analysis of vulnerabilities and risks of critical assets
Adds requirement to the plan	to extreme weather and climate change and strategies to adapt to conditions. Analysis shall be
	coordinated with Fed, State, local, and Tribal agencies as appropriation.
134(i)(4) – Optional Scenario Development	Adds a NEW paragraph (D) that requires MPOs to offer to interested parties reasonable
	opportunity to participate.
134(i)(6)((A) – Interested Parties	Adds "public ports" to list of entities MPOs must give reasonable opportunity to comment on
Adds public ports and gives greater input to	transportation plan.
interested parties in the plan	
	Strikes "comment" on the plan and replaces with "input during the development and
	implementation of the transportation plan."
134(j) TIP	Modifies (j)(2)(D) Performance Target Achievement to require MPOs to create a process to evaluate
	and select projects based on project elements known to support outcomes that achieve targets in
	the plan. Current law requires, to the maximum extent practicable, MPOs include a description of
	anticipated effects of the TIP toward achieving targets linking investment priorities to the targets.
134(k) TMAs	Eliminates the congestion management process.
134(n) Additional Requirements for Certain	Eliminates 134s(n) – eliminates the prohibition on advancing federal funds in nonattainment areas
Nonattainment Areas	for projects that significantly increases the carrying capacity for singleoccupant vehicles unless
	addressed through a CMP.
Adds NEW (q) Measuring Transportation	Up to ten MPOs, serving as the sole MPO in a UZA of more than 1 million, will be selected by the
Connectivity Pilot Activities	Secretary to develop and deploy measures and targets to improve multimodal connectivity and
	provide connectivity to disadvantaged Americans and neighborhoods with limited transportation
C	options. \$70 million available annually.
134(r) - NEW - High Performing Metropolitan	MPOs over 200K may request a high performing MPOs designation from the Sec.
Planning Organizations	Sec. shall consider:
	Approach to decision making
	Incorporation of targets in planning process
	Is the MPO a consolidated MPO
	If not a consolidated MPO, the extent of coordination with other MPOs in the MSA
	Technical capacity

	Other criteria through guidance
	10 year designation
	CMAQ
Transferability under Sec. 126	Limits CMAQ transfer to 25% instead of 50%
150 N	lational Goals and Performance Management Measures
150(c) Establishment of Performance Measures	Adds paragraph on Transportation Connectivity to permit the Secretary to establish a performance measure for MPOs to assess if the system provides multimodal connections to economic opportunity, especially for disadvantaged populations.
20	1 Federal Land and Tribal Transportation Programs
201(b)(7)	Amends federal share from 100% to up to 100%
	5
New Prog	gram - Critical Immediate Investment Program - Sec. 2012
Interstate Bridge Revitalization Initiative	 Formula distribution to States using ratio of NHPP apportioned to a state versus total NHPP to all States Funds are targeted for use on Interstate bridges but may be used on NHS bridge based on structural condition of bridges; cannot build a new bridge unless it is a replacement
Systemic Safety Initiative	 Formula distribution to States using ratio of NHPP apportioned to a state versus total NHPP to all States Funds are used for safety \$75,000,000 is reserved before apportionment for safety grants
StateofGoodRepair Initiative	 Formula distribution to States using ratio of NHPP apportioned to a state versus total NHPP to all States Use of funds reconstruct, resurface, rehab, preserve NHS highways Use of funds replace, rehab, preserve, protect NHS tunnel or bridges 50% may be used on preservation to prevent more costly future repairs Selection of projects is based on management system information
Y ×	

5/12/14

States may transfer 100% of apportionment to bridge or safety initiative to meet performance

	targets under NHPP or safety	
Federal Share	Up to 80%	

$\underline{MultimodalFreightInvestmentProgram}$

r	
Multimodal Freight Incentive Program	 Formula based – number of ports, rail track miles, cargo handling airports, Interstate miles, freight tonnage, freight value Eligible expenses include – corridor freight plans or regional freight plans; capital, equipment, or operational improvements Tier I and II incentive grants are made once criteria (including advisory committee, plan, analysis of needs) are met by the states 80% federal share
National Freight Infrastructure Program	 Competitive application MPOs may apply independently or with group of eligible entities
State Advisory Committee	MPOs shall be on the committee

21st Century Infrastructure Investments

TIGER	Up to 10% may be used for planning activities
	Includes bike and pedestrian projects under eligible projects
FAST	• \$2 billion Fixing & Accelerating Surface Transportation (FAST) Competitive Grants
	Applications evaluated on a number of best practices
	Eligible applicants include MPOs
	Minimum of \$50 million
	• Up to 100% federal share
Maria di Maldia D	Secretary establishes Metro Mobility Program
Metropolitan Mobility Program	• \$1 billion is reserved for MMP over the four year period
	 Allocated by population to areas over 200,000
	Minimum allocation \$1 million
	Maximum allocation \$3 million
	 Additional funds are available to High Performing MPO
	• Eligible uses – any highway or transit project eligible under law; the nonfederal share of
<i>></i>	transit or TIGER projects

Toll Roads, Bridges, Tunnels and Ferries

Tolling existing capacity on the Interstate	 Permits, at the Secretary's discretion, tolling of existing Interstate lanes; reconstruction of a tollfree highway on the Interstate and conversion to a toll facility; conversion of a toll free Interstate highway, tunnel, and bridge to a toll facility
Use of toll revenue	Permits toll revenue to be used on transit service within the corridor or contributes to improvement operation of the tolled facility
Electronic tolls	 Requires the operator to manage demand by using varying toll amounts Tolls opened after Oct 1, 2015 must use electronic toll collection
	Rapid Growth Area Transit Program
Grants	 Competitive grants to state and local governments for BRT in areas that experienced moderate to significant population growth between 2000 and 2010 and has a transit system in revenue service that has experienced moderate to significant growth in ridership and can pay the operations on the existing system and an expanded system 80% may be covered with federal funds
	Bus and Bus Facilities
Grants	30% of the funds shall be provided through competitive grants
	Transportation Alternatives Program
Funding	Highperforming MPOs would receive a 50% increase in TAP funds
Eligibility	 Adds nonprofit organizations and MPOs not developing the competitive process for funding Adds program of projects as eligible for funding

5/12/14