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PIEDE MONT TRIAD FREIGHT STUDY

Phase 1
- Freight facilities database
- Freight survey data collection

Phase 2
- Develop advanced freight model
- Integrate with existing PTRM

Phase 3
- Travel diary data collection
- Estimate freight model with local data
BACKGROUNDD
FREIGHT AND THE TRIAD

- Goods movement and the economy
- Considering Freight in Transportation Planning
- Role of Freight in the Triad
  - One of the world's largest transportation and logistics clusters
  - Region is growing through diversification
  - I-85/I-40 gateway to major hubs in the north and south
  - Some of the highest truck flows in North Carolina
- Region is taking bold steps to understand freight and logistics
2040 Domestic  43.4M tons / $558.5Bn / 15% growth

2040 Exports  14.5M tons / $49.2Bn / 150% growth

2040 Imports  5.7M tons / $20.4Bn / >200% growth
PIEDMONT TOGETHER

- **Goal 1: More transportation choices through the development of safe, reliable and economical transportation infrastructure and services**
  - **Objective 1** Establish an enhance a robust network of multimodal transportation choices at the statewide, regional, county and municipal
  - **Objective 2** Conduct local research and education on the benefits of a multimodal regional network.

- **Goal 2: Maintain and enhance the region’s competitive edge as a freight transportation and logistics hub on the Eastern Seaboard**
  - **Objective 1** Develop a comprehensive vision for freight infrastructure in the region.
  - **Objective 2** Develop a multimodal freight network strategy in the region designed to create, protect and maintain transport links, connecting intermodal facilities and appropriate modes, both public and private.
  - **Objective 3** Maintain a low level of traffic congestion in the region along Unlimited Truck Routes.
  - **Objective 4** Expand logistics education and career opportunities for the Piedmont Triad workforce.
FREIGHT FACILITIES DATABASE AND DATA COLLECTION
FREIGHT NODE DATABASE

• Data sources
• Geocoding and challenges
• Populating the database
• Key data elements
  • Location
  • Contact
  • Type
INITIAL DATA COLLECTION STRATEGY

• Sampling Plan
  • Considers facility type and scale
    • Distribution center, intermodal, major shipper, retail
    • Large, medium, and small with respect to freight traffic
  • Considers geographic distribution
  • Considers strong relationships with industry representatives
    • But within the constraints of the sample plan

• Freight Node Surveys
  • Contact by phone to schedule in-person interview
  • 4 teams of 2 conducting interviews
  • Goal: 2 – 3 interviews per day
REVISED FREIGHT NODE DATABASE

• Challenges encountered during data collection phase
  • Database did not include all freight nodes
  • Contact information was outdated
• Enhancements were necessary
  • Google Earth/Maps
  • Intensive visual review
    • Building SQFT
    • Truck Bays
    • Truck Parking
• 969 Freight Nodes
FREIGHT FACILITIES DATABASE

- 968 Facilities classified by type
  - Distribution center, intermodal facility, major shipper, retail
- Basic information available for most facilities
  - NAICS classification code, number of truck bays, primary commodity
REVISED DATA COLLECTION STRATEGY

Challenges
- Absence of key freight nodes
- Scheduling of interviews was difficult due to lack of contact information for key individuals
- Available phone numbers often resulted in dead ends
- Success rate made it clear that revisions were needed

Revised Approach
- Visit each facility in person to establish in-person contact
- Speak with facility operation manager
- Leave addressed and stamped survey form to complete and return later
- Response rate moved from ~7% to nearly 30%
- 76% of surveys received in this manner
SURVEY RESULTS AND ANALYSIS
SURVEY RESULTS

OVER 800 FACILITIES VISITED, SURVEY DATA FOR 158
**EXAMPLE DATA RECORD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RecordID</th>
<th>2775</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Iredell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Distribution Cen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTRM_NAICS_Group</td>
<td>Retail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT_Empl</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg_SF</td>
<td>24000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrkBays</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB_Comm1</td>
<td>Scrap metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB_Comm2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB_Comm3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB_Comm</td>
<td>Processed scrap metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DailyTrk</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cntainer</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv5axl</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SingUnit</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del_Vans</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OtherTrk</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SURVEY RESULTS

DISTRIBUTION OF SURVEYS BY COUNTY
SURVEY RESULTS

SURVEY LOCATION BY NUMBER OF TRUCKS PER DAY
SURVEY RESULTS - TRIAD REGION

DISTRIBUTION BY TYPE AND TRUCKS PER DAY
SURVEY ANALYSIS - TRIAD REGION

AVERAGE TRUCKS/DAY BY TYPE AND # OF EMPLOYEES
AVERAGE TRUCKS/DAY BY CLASSIFICATION AND TRUCK TYPE
Survey Results

Employment and Truck Trips by Facility Type
SURVEY RESULTS

BUILDING SQFT AND TRUCK TRIPS BY FACILITY TYPE

**DISTRIBUTION CENTERS**

\[ y = 3213.7x + 46261 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.5151 \]

**INTERMODAL FACILITIES**

\[ y = 706.05x + 35798 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.5256 \]

**RETAIL CENTERS**

\[ y = 2416.5x + 5877.3 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9567 \]

**SHIPPERS (MANUFACTURING)**

\[ y = 5357.4x + 51500 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.3132 \]
### Survey Analysis

**Average Trucks/Day by Type and # of Employees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment Type/Number of Employees</th>
<th>Less than 25 (n=64)</th>
<th>26 – 50 (n=25)</th>
<th>51 – 100 (n=24)</th>
<th>101 – 500 (n=36)</th>
<th>Greater than 500 (n=9)</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>183.4</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight Node Classification</td>
<td>Average Trips by Autos/Vans</td>
<td>Average Trips by SUT</td>
<td>Average Trips by MUT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution Center (n=48)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Facility (n=20)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail (n=12)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Shipper (n=78)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Triad Freight Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment Grouping</th>
<th>Autos/Vans</th>
<th>SUT</th>
<th>MUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industry (n=105)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail (n=35)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service (n=14)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office (n=4)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1995 Piedmont Triad Commercial Vehicle Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment Grouping</th>
<th>Autos/Vans</th>
<th>Pickups</th>
<th>Trucks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FHWA QRFM Table 4.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment Grouping</th>
<th>4-tire trucks</th>
<th>SUT</th>
<th>MUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Mining, and Construction</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing, Trans, Comm, Utilities, Wholesale</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Trade</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and Services</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROJECT FINDINGS
EXISTING PATTERNS

- Highest concentration of freight facilities in Guildford County followed by Forsyth and Alamance
- By Classification:
  - Major Shipper (~55%)
  - Distribution Centers (~21%)
  - Retail (~16%)
  - Intermodal (~8%) – highest average number of truck trips
- Strong relationships:
  - Building square footage and average truck trips
  - Number of truck bays and average truck trips
- Freight facilities tend to cluster
LONG TERM FREIGHT PLANNING

• Increased freight flows as population increases:
  • NC population to increase by 3 million in next 25 years
  • NC freight traffic in 2040 will be 120 million tons higher
• Economic competitiveness:
  • Freight supporting policies
  • Investments in infrastructure
• Triad Freight Study helps address critical freight related questions:
  • Where are the highest concentrations of freight generators
  • What types of vehicles do they use
  • How many trucks visit the site on an average day
TAKE HOME MESSAGE

What we have

Freight focused information system

Big picture benefit

Used to inform land use planning, transportation planning, and project prioritization

Specific applications

Investigate freight clusters
Estimate truck trips
Project prioritization
Inform land use and rezoning decisions
Identify characteristics supporting freight clusters

What comes next

Policy scenario analysis
Mode choice
Understanding of dynamics between congestion and freight
Impacts of land use decisions
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Billy Bachman, Westat, Inc.
- Charles Edwards, North Carolina Center for Global Logistics
- Rolf Moeckel, University of Maryland
- Triad Partners
  - PART
  - MPOs for Greensboro, High Point, Winston-Salem, Burlington-Graham
  - NCDOT
  - FHWA
QUESTIONS